vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2273 OF 2007

Vishwanath B. Dhapate ... Petitioner

V/s.

Transport Manager
Pune Municipal Transport ... Respondent

Mr.V.S. Gokhale for Petitioner

CORAM: **SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **JUNE 16, 2007**

P.C.:

. The petitioner was admittedly a badli workman working as a conductor. The Petitioner claims that his services were terminated since he did not report for duty for some time. He therefore, challenged his termination from service before the Labour Court by filing Complaint (ULP) No.70 of 1996. The Labour Court has rightly concluded that the Petitioner was not entitled to any relief being a badli worker. Aggrieved by this order, the Petitioner filed revision application (ULP) No.153 of 2005 which met with the same fate. The Industrial Court while disposing of the revision application observed that the Respondent had issued a letter to the Petitioner giving an opportunity to him of being heard before his termination from service which the Petitioner refused to accept.

2. In such circumstances, there is no need to interfere with the concurrent findings recorded by both the Courts below. Petition rejected.